

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION

Division of Water Resources

William R. Snodgrass Tennessee Tower, 312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, 11th Floor,

Nashville, Tennessee, 37243 1-888-891-8332 (TDEC)

Application for Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit (ARAP) & State §401 Water Quality Certification

OFFICIAL STATE USE ONLY	Site #:				Permit #:	NR24	07.54	
Section 1. Applicant Information (individual responsible for site, signs certification below)								
Applicant Name (company or individual): SOS #: Status:								
Primary Contact/Signatory:				Signatory	's Title or Po	sition:		
Mailing Address:				City:			State:	Zip:
Phone:	Fa	ax:		E-mail:				·
Section 2. Alternate Contact/Consult	ant Info	rmation (a c	consultant is n	ot required)			
Alternate Contact Name:								
Company:				Title or Po	sition:		I	
Mailing Address:				City:			State:	Zip:
Phone:	Fa	ax:		E-mail:				
Section 3. Fee (application will be inco	mplete u	Intil fee is re	ceived)					
No Fee Fee	e Submit	ted with App	lication		Amount Sul	bmitted: \$;	
Current application fee schedules can https://www.tn.gov/environment/permit or by calling (615) 532-0625. Please m	be found - <i>permits/</i> ake che	l at the Divis /water-permi cks payable	ion of Water F <i>its1/aquatic-re</i> to "Treasurer	Resources v source-alte State of Te	webpage at: eration-perm ennessee".	itarapht	ml	
Billing Contact (if different from Applica	ant):	Name):			Ema	il:	
Address:					Phone:			
Section 4. Project Details (fill in inform	nation ar	nd check app	propriate boxe	s)				
Site or Project Name:				Nearest	City, Town o	or Major La	andmark:	
Street Address or Location (include zip):							
County/ics):			MS4 Jurisd	iction:	Lati	Latitude (dd.dddd):		
					Lon	gitude (dd.	.dddd):	
Resources Proposed for Alteration:		Stream / Riv	ver	Wetland	Res	ervoir		
Name of Water Resource (for more info	rmation,	access http	://tdeconline.t	n.gov/dwr)	:			
Brief Project Description (a more detaile	ed descri	iption is requ	uired under Se	ection 8):				
Does the proposed activity require approval from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Tennessee Valley Authority, or any other federal, state, or local government agency? Yes No								
Will the activity require a 401 Water Qu	alitv Cer	tification :	Yes	N	D			
If Yes, attach any 401 WQC pre-filing m	neeting re	equest docu	mentation					
Is the proposed activity associated with	a larger	common pla	an of developn	nent:	Yes	No		
If Yes, submit site plans and identify t	the locati	ion and over	all scope of th	e common	plan of dev	elopment.		
Plans attached? Yes No If applicable, indicate any other federal, development) that have been obtained	state, or in the pa	^r local permit st (e.g., cons	ts that are ass struction gene	ociated wit ral permit a	h the overal and/or other	l project sit ARAP):	e (common p	blan of

Application for Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit (ARAP) & State §401 Water Quality Certification

Section 5. Project Schedule (fill in information and check appropriate boxes)						
Proposed start date:	Estimated end date:					
Is any portion of the activity complete now?	Yes	No				
If yes, describe the extent of the completed portion:						

The required information in Sections 6-11 must be submitted on a separate sheet(s) and submitted in the same numbered format as presented below. If any question in not applicable, state the reason why it is not applicable.

Secti	on 6. Description	Attao Yes	ched No
6.1	A narrative description of the scope of the project		
6.2	USGS topographic map indicating the exact location of the project (can be a photographic copy)		
6.3	Photographs of the resource(s) proposed for alteration with location description (photo locations should be noted on map)		
6.4	A narrative description of the existing stream and/or wetland characteristics including, but not limited to, dimensions (e.g., depth, length, average width), substrate and riparian vegetation		
6.5	A narrative description of the proposed stream and/or wetland characteristics including, but not limited to, dimensions (e.g., depth, length, average width), substrate and riparian vegetation		
6.6	In the case of wetlands, include a wetland delineation with delineation forms and site map denoting location of data points		
6.7	A copy of all hydrologic or jurisdictional determination documents issued for water resources on the project site		

Section 7. Project Rationale		hed
		No
Describe the need for the proposed activity, including, but not limited to the purpose, alternatives considered and rationale for selection of least impactful alternative, and what will be done to avoid or minimize impacts to water resources		

Section	on 8. Technical Information	Atta Yes	ched No
8.1	Detailed plans, specifications, blueprints, or legible sketches of present site conditions and the proposed activity. Plans must be 8.5.x 11 inches. Additional larger plans may also be submitted to aid in application review. The detailed plans should be superimposed on existing and new conditions (e.g., stream cross sections where road crossings are proposed)		
8.2	For the proposed activity and compensatory mitigation, provide a discussion regarding the sequencing of events and construction methods and any proposed monitoring		
8.3	Depiction and narrative on the location and type of erosion prevention and sediment control (EPSC) measures for the proposed alterations and any other measures to treat, control, or manage impacts to waters		

Section 9. Water Resources Degradation (degree of proposed impact)

Note that in most cases, activities that exceed the scope of the General Permit limitations are considered greater than *de minimis* degradation to water quality.

Please provide your basis for concluding the proposed activity will cause one of the following levels of water quality degradation:

- a. De minimis degradation, no appreciable permanent loss of resource values
- b. Greater than *de minimis* degradation (if greater than *de minimis* complete Sections 10-11)

For information and guidance on the definition of de minimis and degradation, refer to the Antidegradation Statement in Chapter 0400-40-03-.06 of the Tennessee Water Quality Criteria Rule: https://publications.tnsosfiles.com/rules/0400/0400-40/0400-40.htm

For more information on specifics on what General Permits can cover, refer to the Natural Resources Unit webpage at: https://www.tn.gov/environment/permit-permits/water-permits1/aquatic-resource-alteration-permit--arap-.html

Application for Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit (ARAP) & State §401 Water Quality Certification

Section 10 Detailed Alternatives Analysis		Attached		
0000	Sh To. Betalled Alternatives Analysis	Yes	No	
10.1	Analyze all reasonable alternatives and describe the level of degradation and permanent loss of resource value caused by each alternative. Assessment must consider options other than the "Preferred" and "No Action" alternatives. Provide associated rationale for selecting or rejecting all alternatives considered and demonstration that the least impactful practicable alternative was selected.			
10.2	Discuss the social and economic consequences of each alternative			
10.3	Demonstrate that the degradation associated with the preferred alternative will not violate water quality criteria for uses designated in the receiving waters, and is necessary to accommodate important economic and social development in the area			

Sectio	on 11 Compensatory Mitigation	Attached	
Oecin	Shirth. Compensatory Milligation	Yes	No
11.1	A detailed discussion of the proposed compensatory mitigation. Provide evidence of credit reservation if proposing to utilize a third-party provider.		
11.2	Analysis of any proposed appreciable loss of resource value using the TN Stream Mitigation Guidelines. Provide Stream Quantification Tool (SQT) results if applicable. Include Existing Condition Score (ECS) and debit/credit calculations.		
11.3	Describe how the compensatory mitigation would result in no net loss of resource value		
11.4	Provide a detailed monitoring plan for the compensatory mitigation site if permittee-responsible project is proposed		
11.5	Describe the long-term protection measures for the compensatory mitigation site if permittee-responsible project is proposed (e.g., deed restrictions, conservation easement)		

Certification and Signature

An application submitted by a corporation must be signed by a principal executive officer; from a partnership or proprietorship, by the partner or proprietor respectively; from a municipal, state, federal or other public agency or facility, the application must be signed by either a principal executive officer, ranking elected official, or other duly authorized employee. I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared by me, or under my direction or supervision. The submitted information is to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. As specified in Tennessee Code Annotated Section 39-16-702(a)(4), this declaration is made under penalty of perjury. The project proponent hereby requests that the certifying authority review and take action on this CWA 401 certification request within the applicable reasonable period of time.

		Grapan	
Printed Name	Official Title	Signature	Date

Note that this form must be signed by the principal executive officer, partner or proprietor, or a ranking elected official in the case of a municipality; for details see **Certification and Signature** statement above. For more information, contact your local EFO at the toll-free number 1-888-891-8332 (TDEC). Submit the completed ARAP Application form (keep a copy for your records) to the appropriate EFO for the county(ies) where the proposed activity is located, addressed to **Attention: ARAP Processing**. You may also electronically submit the complete application and all associated attachments to water.permits@tn.gov.

EFO	Street Address	Zip Code	EFO	Street Address	Zip Code
Memphis	8383 Wolf Lake Drive, Bartlett	38133-4119	Cookeville	1221 South Willow Ave.	38506
Jackson	1625 Hollywood Drive	38305-4316	Chattanooga	1301 Riverfront Pkwy., Ste. 206	37402
Nashville	711 R S Gass Boulevard	37243	Knoxville	3711 Middlebrook Pike	37921
Columbia	1421 Hampshire Pike	38401	Johnson City	2305 Silverdale Road	37601





Three Rivers Home Builders Smithville Highway Project Application for Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit Warren County, Tennessee

Additional Information

July 2, 2024

Prepared For: TDEC Cookeville Environmental Field Office 1221 S Willow Ave Cookeville, TN 38506

> **Prepared By:** MRW Environmental LLC 32 North Main Sparta, Tennessee 38583

Table of Contents

6	Proje	et Description
	6.1	A narrative description of the scope of the project
	6.2	USGS topographic map indicating the exact location of the project
	6.3	Photographs of the resource(s) proposed for alteration with location description 5
	6.4	A narrative description of the existing stream and/or wetland characteristics including, but not limited to, dimensions (e.g., depth, length, average width), substrate, and riparian vegetation
	6.4.1	Wetlands
	6.4.2	Streams, WWC, and Upland Drainage Features
	6.5	A narrative description of the proposed stream and/or wetland characteristics including, but not limited to, dimensions (e.g., depth, length, average width), substrate, and riparian vegetation
	6.6	In the case of wetlands include wetland delineation with delineation forms and site map denoting location of data points
	А Ну	drologic Features Report was previously submitted by MRW Environmental LLC ("MRW") to TDEC, Cookeville Environmental Field Office. Approval of this report was issued was issued on May 30, 2024. A copy of the Hydrologic Features Report can be found in Appendix B
	6.7	A copy of all hydrologic or jurisdictional determination documents issued for water resources on the project site
7	Proje	ct Rationale7
	7.1	Describe the need for the proposed activity, including but not limited to, the purpose, alternatives considered, and what will be done to avoid or minimize impacts to streams or wetlands
	7.1.1	Alternatives Considered7
8	Techr	nical Information
	8.1	Detailed plans, specifications, blue prints, or legible sketches of present site conditions and the proposed activity. Plans must be 8.5x11 inches. Additional larger plans may also be submitted to aid in application review. The detailed plans should be superimposed on existing and new conditions. (e.g., stream cross sections where road crossings are proposed)
	8.2	For both the proposed activity and compensatory mitigation, provide a discussion regarding the sequencing of events and construction method and any proposed monitoring
	8.2.1	Proposed Project Sequence of Events and Construction Method(s)
	8.2.2	Compensatory Mitigation Sequence of Events 10

8.3	Depiction and narrative on the location and type of erosion prevention and sediment
	control (EPSC) measures for the proposed alterations and any other measures to treat,
	control, or manage impacts to waters

9 Water Resources Degradation (degree of proposed impact)......10

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Topographic Map Showing Location of the Proposed Project Area	4
Figure 2. Photograph of WET-A.	5
Figure 3. Topographic Map Showing Location of the Proposed Project Area	6
Figure 4. Conceptual Project Plans	8

Table 1. Characteristics of Identified	Wetlands	5
--	----------	---

6 **Project Description**

6.1 A narrative description of the scope of the project

This proposed project would include the construction of a private driveway resulting in unavoidable impacts to 0.007 acres of a wetland area. Location of the proposed project is adjacent to Smithville Highway in Warren County, Tennessee. The development will require vegetation clearing, earth-moving, and other associated alterations.

6.2 USGS topographic map indicating the exact location of the project

An 8.5 x 11 Topographic map can be found in Appendix A (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Topographic Map Showing Location of the Proposed Project Area.



6.3 Photographs of the resource(s) proposed for alteration with location description

Figure 2. Photograph of WET-A.

6.4 A narrative description of the existing stream and/or wetland characteristics including, but not limited to, dimensions (e.g., depth, length, average width), substrate, and riparian vegetation

The on-site assessment performed by MRW Environmental LLC indicated one wetland ("WET-A") within the Subject Property (Figure 3).

6.4.1 Wetlands

One wetland area was identified within the Subject Property. Table 1 depicts the acreage, HGM classification, and Cowardin classification of the wetland identified within the Subject Property. Sample locations, wetland delineation forms, and pictures are included in Appendix A.

ID	Acreage	Cowardin Classification	HGM Classification	Latitude	Longitude
WET-A	0.52	PEM1A/C	Slope/Depression	35.801555	-85.793848

Table 1. Characteristics of Identified Wetlands.



Figure 3. Topographic Map Showing Location of the Proposed Project Area.

Wetland-A

Wetland-A ("WET-A") is a palustrine emergent slope/depression wetland. WET-A starts at a spring and spring box that appears to have been used as a water source in the past. In addition, a small pond has been excavated in the wetland area in the past. Hydrology indicators included saturated soils, surface water, and oxidized rhizospheres on living roots. Hydrophytic vegetation dominated the wetland area and included species such as; soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*), spotted joe pye weed (*Eutrochium maculatum*), woolgrass (*Scirpus cyperinus*), broad-leaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*), duckweed (*Lemna sp.*), and green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*). Hydric soils are present and meet the requirements of the F3 Indicator – Depleted Matrix.

6.4.2 Streams, WWC, and Upland Drainage Features

No streams, WWCs, and/or upland drainage features were identified within the Subject Property.

6.5 A narrative description of the proposed stream and/or wetland characteristics including, but not limited to, dimensions (e.g., depth, length, average width), substrate, and riparian vegetation.

Based on the project plans, approximately 0.007 acre of WET-A would be impacted as a result of this proposed project. The proposed project is located within the Collins River (05130107) 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code. Based on the small size of these proposed unavoidable impacts, no mitigation is being proposed.

6.6 In the case of wetlands include wetland delineation with delineation forms and site map denoting location of data points.

A Hydrologic Features Report was previously submitted by MRW Environmental LLC ("MRW") to TDEC, Cookeville Environmental Field Office. Approval of this report was issued was issued on May 30, 2024. A copy of the Hydrologic Features Report can be found in Appendix B.

6.7 A copy of all hydrologic or jurisdictional determination documents issued for water resources on the project site.

No streams and/or WWC were identified within the Subject Property.

7 Project Rationale

7.1 Describe the need for the proposed activity, including but not limited to, the purpose, alternatives considered, and what will be done to avoid or minimize impacts to streams or wetlands.

The purpose of the proposed project is to construct a private drive to access a future residential development on an approximately 1.6-acre parcel. Construction of the private drive will require the placement of fill material (i.e., large stone and/or riprap) into a wetland area resulting in 0.007 acres of unavoidable impacts. See the Alternatives below for further explanation.

7.1.1 Alternatives Considered

Two alternatives were considered with regard to feasibility in accomplishing the proposed project purpose. These alternatives are listed below.

Alternative 1 - No Action

The option to forego construction of a private drive would not achieve the project purpose of providing a path of ingress and egress to the proposed residence.

<u>Alternative 2 – Development of the Proposed Project – Southern End</u> <u>of Parcel - ("Preferred Alternative")</u>

This preferred alternative would allow for the construction of the private drive. This alternative would result in unavoidable impacts to 0.007 acre of wetland (approximately 20 feet) within the Subject Property (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Conceptual Project Plans

Impacts to the wetland would be necessary in order to construct the driveway. Impacts to the wetland area are a result of the septic tank and field line placement requirements which have been approved by TDEC. Placement of the residence at the southern western end of the parcel is required because there is inadequate acreage for the placement of septic tank and field lines anywhere else on the property that is not considered wetland.

The location of the driveway was placed along the northern section of the wetland area to reduce unavoidable impacts to the maximum extent. As discussed above, the driveway would be constructed by using large stone/rip rap to stabilize the banks and to allow for natural groundwater flow.

8 Technical Information

8.1 Detailed plans, specifications, blue prints, or legible sketches of present site conditions and the proposed activity. Plans must be 8.5x11 inches. Additional larger plans may also be submitted to aid in application review. The detailed plans should be superimposed on existing and new conditions. (e.g., stream cross sections where road crossings are proposed).

Project plan can be found in Appendix C of this document.

8.2 For both the proposed activity and compensatory mitigation, provide a discussion regarding the sequencing of events and construction method and any proposed monitoring

8.2.1 Proposed Project Sequence of Events and Construction Method(s)

The sequencing for the proposed activity is expected to be site clearing, Erosion Prevention Sediment Control ("EPSC") installation, rough grading, final grading, building construction, and paving. The estimated start date would be approximately 1-3 months after permit approval. A full National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") to include a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP") would be submitted for approval prior to the start of construction activities. The following sequence details the general order of construction activities for the proposed culvert installation.

Prior to grading activities:

- 1. Post Notice of Coverage ("NOC") in a prominent display near the entrance to the site (if not already posted).
- 2. Install rain gauge on site.
- 3. Install construction entrance and silt fence.
- 4. Construct sediment basins (if needed).
- 5. Construct diversion ditches to basin and install rock check dams (if needed).

After installation of erosion control features:

- 1. Clear and grub the site.
- 2. Strip topsoil and stockpile. Temporary seeding and silt fence shall be utilized on stockpile.
- 3. Begin excavation. Follow SWPPP Narrative and plans at all times.
- 4. Grading operations as outlined in the Project Plans.
- 5. Complete inspections and maintenance per plans. Retain all inspection records on site.
- 6. Spread mulch, temporary seeding or stone over all areas where grading has temporarily (more than 14 days) or permanently ceased.
- 7. Construct all pavement, buildings, and other ground cover as specified on civil design drawings after grading is complete.

After site is stabilized:

- 1. Remove erosion control measures. Seed and mulch all areas disturbed by these operations.
- 2. Convert sediment basins to detention basins.
- 3. Prepare and submit a Notice of Termination ("NOT") once the construction activities are completed and the final stabilization of the site is in place.

8.2.2 Compensatory Mitigation Sequence of Events

Currently, there is no plan to provide compensatory mitigation for the proposed impacts since the impacts are below threshold required for mitigation.

8.3 Depiction and narrative on the location and type of erosion prevention and sediment control (EPSC) measures for the proposed alterations and any other measures to treat, control, or manage impacts to waters.

See attached plans for a depiction of the proposed erosion prevention and sediment control measures to be utilized on the project area.

The development of and activities on this site would include clearing and grading work necessary for the proposed project. Current versions of this NPDES plan and permit will be kept on the site for the duration of the project. These items would be available for the use of all operators and site personnel involved with the proposed development, erosion and sediment controls, etc., and would be available to anyone visiting the site. A notice would be posted near the entrance that includes a copy of the applicable permits, the TDEC Notice of Coverage, and tracking number assigned by TDEC.

It is the intention and goal that any discharge from this development will have no impact to any adjacent water body or adjacent property. The activities would be carried out in such a manner to prevent any sediment or other discharge. These activities would not impact the usefulness of the waters on the property or downstream of the property for fish and aquatic life, livestock and wildlife watering, recreation, navigation, or industrial or domestic water supply. The construction of this site would be done in a manner to prevent erosion from leaving the site. Any project areas that are disturbed and are not to be used for construction or parking for the development will be stabilized with a full stand of grass as soon as possible.

The potential for pollution from the construction activities taking place on the property primarily are concerned with the possibility for sedimentation due to clearing and grading and general construction activities. Construction start-up erosion control measures also would be implemented by the contractor prior to any work beginning. The contractor shall use temporary silt fence, erosion control log eels, construction entrance / exit, and any other erosion control measures necessary to prevent any sediment from leaving the site at all times until the project site is completely stabilized and controlled.

9 Water Resources Degradation (degree of proposed impact)

My activity, as proposed will cause de minimis degradation to water quality.

Appendix A USGS Topographical Map



APPENDIX B Hydrologic Determinations, Jurisdictional Determinations, and 401 Water Quality Certification Pre-Filing



COPY of Applicant TDEC 401 Water Quality Certification Pre-Filing Meeting Request Confirmation

TDEC Division of Water Resources <noreply+ebb28c58202d19b9@formstack.com> Reply-To: Regan.McGahen@tn.gov To: MGranstaff@mrwenvironmental.com Tue, Jul 2, 2024 at 10:43 AM

Dear Applicant,

Thank you for your request for a pre-filing meeting related to an anticipated filing of a Section 401 Water Quality Certification request with the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC). Due to a recent change in the federal 401 Certification Rules, a pre-filing meeting request is now required at least 30 days before submittal of a 401 Certification request for a federal permitting agency (such as the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) to consider it to be valid (see 40 CFR § 121.4).

This automated response confirms TDEC's receipt of your request and fulfills your compliance with the federal rule 40 CFR § 121.4.

Please be sure to include this confirmation receipt in your 401 Certification request to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers when applying for a 404 or Section 10 federal permit (see 40 CFR § 121.5).

Note that this pre-filing meeting request provision is a federal requirement and is not a part of TDEC's Aquatic Resource Alteration Permitting (ARAP) process. No further action is necessary at this time related to any ARAP application with TDEC. You do not have to wait 30 days to apply for an ARAP permit, and ARAP applications will continue to be processed by TDEC according to state rules and regulations.

Please also note that this online meeting request form that you just filled out, does not serve as an application for any state or federal permit. The Aquatic Resource Alteration Permit forms from TDEC can be found HERE.

Requiring pre-filing meetings to be held for 401 Certification requests is optional for the certifying agency (TDEC). At this time TDEC has chosen not to hold routine pre-application in-person meetings. However, if your project is large, complex, includes on-site mitigation, or otherwise has the potential to significantly impact water resources and you believe that it would be beneficial to schedule a meeting prior to applying for an ARAP permit, please visit our Regulatory Coordination Web Page for more information.

Thank you.

TDEC Division of Water Resources

FORM INFORMATION SUBMITTED:

Name: Three Rivers Home Builders

E-Mail: james@trchb.com

Phone: (817) 925-2085

Project Name: Three Rivers Home Builders - Smithville Highway Project

Project Location: Smithville Highway

County: Warren

Waterbody: unnamed feature to Oakland Branch

Project Description: Construction of a Driveway resulting in unavoidable impacts to 0.007 acres of a wetland. Impacts to the wetland area are a result of the location (approved by TDEC) of the field lines required for the residential development. The placement of the driveway was selected to reduce the unavoidable impacts as much as possible. Large stone/riprap would be used to stabilize the banks in order to construct the driveway.

Date/Time Submitted: Jul 2, 2024 11:43 AM



TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION COOKEVILLE ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD OFFICE

PHONE (931) 432-4015

1221 SOUTH WILLOW AVENUE COOKEVILLE, TENNESSEE 38506 STATEWIDE 1-888-891-8332

FAX (931) 432-6952

May 30, 2024

Mr. James Dixon Three River Home Builders 4073 New Highway 96W Franklin, Tennessee 37064

Re: Inventoried Water Resources (Determination ID No. 33201) Smithville Hwy Warren County

Dear Mr. Dixon:

The Division of Water Resources has reviewed the Hydrologic Determination report prepared by MRW Environmental, LLC on May 23, 2024, and other subsequent dates. This information was submitted in support of determinations of waters of the state made by MRW Environmental, LLC at the above referenced site. A review of the water resources was performed by Matthew Harris of this office on May 29, 2024. Results of the onsite review are as follows:

The Division provisionally agrees with the findings of the waters of the state in the submitted information. These findings as determined by MRW Environmental, LLC are summarized and displayed in the table below and the attached map from the jurisdictional waters report and addendum (Figure 1). Please note that once fill material is removed from the southwestern side of the wetland, the size of the wetland may change.

Location ¹	Determination and Comments
WET-A <u>General Location</u> (N35.801555°, W-85.793848°)	Wetland – This feature was determined to meet the criteria to be classified as a wetland by MRW Environmental, LLC. The delineation of boundaries as presented of .52 acre and marked in the field approved.
	Coverage under an ARAP is needed for any alterations to this wetland.

¹See marked locations on the enclosed area map.

Streams, lakes, reservoirs, groundwater, and wetlands of any size are considered waters of the State pursuant to the Tennessee Water Quality Control Act. Alterations to waters of the State require permit coverage under an *Aquatic Resources Alteration Permit* (ARAP). Information

regarding the ARAP program can be found at <u>http://www.tn.gov/environment/article/permit-water-aquatic-resource-alteration-permit</u>.

Please note that a *Tennessee General Construction Permit* will be needed if future land disturbance activity for this project is one acre or more in size. Information regarding the construction storm water program can be found at http://www.tn.gov/environment/article/permit-water-npdes-stormwater-construction-permit. A completed Notice of Intent form, an application fee, and a storm water pollution prevention plan should be submitted to the above address for review and coverage under this permit.

Hydrologic determinations and wetland delineations are advised and governed by Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) rules and regulations, and therefore only apply to the State's permitting process. Because these and other various water features on-site may potentially also be considered jurisdictional Waters of the United States, any alterations to them should only be performed after consultation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

The Division of Water Resources appreciates the opportunity to assess the site. Because natural variation and human activities can alter hydrologic conditions, the division reserves the right to reassess the status of the water features in the future.

Thank you for your interest in water quality in Tennessee. Please contact Matthew Harris at 931-520-6679 or by email at Matthew.harris@tn.gov if you have any questions.

Respectfully, Brad Ulmer

Environmental Field Office Manager Division of Water Resources Cookeville Environmental Field Office

Enclosures: Scotts Auto Salvage Water Resources Inventory Area Map

Cc: File Matthew Granstaff <u>mgranstaff@mrwenvironmental.com</u>





Three River Home Builders Smithville Hwy Resources Inventory Area Map Putnam County



HYDROLOGIC FEATURES REPORT For Three Rivers Home Builders Smithville Highway Property Warren County, Tennessee



23 May 2024

Prepared For:

Mr. James Dickson Three Rivers Home Builders 4073 New Highway 96W Franklin, Tennessee 37064

Prepared By:

MRW Environmental, LLC 32 North Main Street Sparta, Tennessee 38583

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTROD	UCTION	1
2	LOCATIO	DN	1
3	METHOL	DS	2
	3.1 Lite	rature Review	2
	3.1.1	Aquatic Features	2
4	ON-SITE	DATA RECORDING	3
	4.1 Aqu	atic Features	3
	4.1.1	Wetlands	3
	4.1.2	Deepwater Aquatic Habitat	4
	4.1.3	Drainage Features, Streams, and/or Wet Weather Conveyances	4
5	RESULTS	5	5
	5.1 Aqu	atic Features	5
	5.1.1	Wetlands	6
	5.1.2	Deepwater Aquatic Habitat	7
	5.1.3	Streams, WWC, and Upland Drainage Features	7
6	CONCLU	ISIONS	7
	6.1 Aqu	atic Features	7
7	LITERAT	URE CITED	8
<u>Fi</u>	gures and Tab	<u>bles</u>	1
F:	igure 1. Vic	inity map outlining the location of the Subject Property	l
F	igure 2. NH	D and NWI dataset identified features within the Subject Property	2
F	igure 3. Soil	l series listed within the Subject Property.	3
F	igure 4. Hy	drologic features identified within the Subject Property	6
T	11 1 337		c
1	able I. Wet	land indicator definitions according to Reed (1988) used to determine the status o	1
p	lant species	documented at the site	4
Т	able 2. "No	rmal Weather Conditions" Chart	5
Т	able 3. Chai	racteristics of Identified Wetlands	6

1 INTRODUCTION

During May 2024, MRW Environmental LLC ("MRW") was contacted by Three Rivers Home Builders, Mr. James Dickson ("Client"), to assess an approximately five and one half (5.56) +/- acre property ("Subject Property") for potential jurisdictional waters (i.e., streams, wet weather conveyances ("WWC"), and wetlands). The following hydrologic features report identifies and assesses only aquatic features such as streams, wetlands, and WWCs identified by MRW within the Subject Property. The primary objective of this inventory was to identify and delineate waters within the Subject Property subject to jurisdiction under Sections 404 and/or 401 of the Clean Water Act, and any Tennessee legislation such as the Tennessee Water Control Act of 1977. Both acts prohibit the destruction or degradation of Waters of the U.S. ("WOUS") and/or Waters of the State ("WOS"), respectively.

2 LOCATION

The Subject Property is located adjacent to Smithville Highway, Warren County, Tennessee (Figure 1). Approximate coordinates of the property are 35.801999 ° N / 85.79451 ° W.



Figure 1. Vicinity map outlining the location of the Subject Property.

3 METHODS

3.1 Literature Review

3.1.1 Aquatic Features

Prior to conducting the field investigation, available datasets were examined that might provide information regarding potential jurisdictional waters on the property. The principal databases used included National Wetland Inventory ("NWI") data, National Hydrography Dataset ("NHD"), and local soil surveys for the area. NWI and the NHD datasets indicated the presence of one wetland and one stream within the Subject Property (Figure 2).



Figure 2. NHD and NWI dataset identified features within the Subject Property.

Soils data for the Subject Property indicated multiple soil series present with the Subject Property. However, each soil series listed would be considered an upland soil in Warren County, Tennessee (Figure 3). Following this "office" investigation, the project site was assessed by systematically transecting it on foot to determine if jurisdictional waters were present.



Figure 3. Soil series listed within the Subject Property.

4 ON-SITE DATA RECORDING

4.1 Aquatic Features

4.1.1 Wetlands

Wetlands typically are defined as "areas inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions." (33 CFR328.3(b);1984.).

Whenever potential wetland areas were located, procedures described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps") Wetland Delineation Manual ("WDM") (Corps 1987) and Regional Supplement to the Corps WDM: Eastern Mountain and Piedmont ("EMP") (Corps 2012), were employed to: (1) determine if the area was a wetland, and if so, (2) delineate the boundary of the wetland. This process involved documenting the dominant plant species and carefully examining potential indicators of soils and hydrology. The dominant plants (i.e., tree, shrub, ground, and woody vine) were identified to species if possible, using Radford et al. (1968) and Godfrey and Wooten (1979) and their indicator status (obligate, facultative wetland, etc.) (Table 1) was determined from Lichvar (2016). If more than 50% of the dominant species were facultative, facultative wetland, or obligate, the site was considered dominated by hydrophytic vegetation.

Soils were exposed by extracting samples with a soil probe or digging soil pits to a depth of approximately 12-18 inches. Color of the soil matrix (the primary color) and of the redoximorphic

Category	Abbreviation	Definition
Obligate Wetland	OBL	Occur almost always (estimated probability >99%) in wetlands under natural conditions
Facultative Wetland	FACW	Usually occur in wetlands (estimated probability 67-99%), but occasionally found in non-wetlands
Facultative	FAC	Equally likely to occur in wetlands or non-wetlands (estimated probability 33-66%)
Facultative Upland	FACU	Usually occur in non-wetlands (estimated probability 67-99%), but occasionally found in wetlands
Upland	UPL	Occur almost always (estimated probability >99%) in non-wetlands under natural conditions

 Table 1. Wetland indicator definitions according to Reed (1988) used to determine the status of plant species documented at the site.

features (color within the matrix, if present) were described using standard Munsell color notation. Other indicators of hydric soil also were noted if present (U.S. Dept. of Agriculture 2010).

A determination of whether the site had a hydroperiod prolonged enough to be considered "wetland hydrology" was made based on field indicators described in the EMP supplement. Additional information regarding each of the indicators/parameters of wetland hydrology can be found in the WDM and EMP supplement.

Once an area was determined to be a wetland, its boundaries were delineated based on the presence or absence of each of the wetland parameters. A flag or other marker was placed in the ground to indicate the point at which one or more of the parameters ceased to be present, thus denoting the edge of the wetland. Each wetland then was assigned to the most detailed Cowardin and hydrogeomorphic class ("HGM") possible.

4.1.2 Deepwater Aquatic Habitat

Deepwater Aquatic Habitat is defined in the WDM as any open water area that has a mean annual water depth >6.6ft, lacks soil, and is either unvegetated or supports only floating or submersed macrophytes.

4.1.3 Drainage Features, Streams, and/or Wet Weather Conveyances

All other aquatic features, (other than wetlands), were documented utilizing Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation's ("TDEC") Division of Water Pollution Control *Guidance for Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5* ("Guidance") (TDEC 2020).

Prior to conducting a field evaluation, MRW reviewed the recent precipitation patterns for the local area, and the long-term seasonal precipitation trends. Local weather conditions over the previous one week, one month, and three-month intervals, prior to the field investigation date were

assessed. This information was utilized to determine if "Normal Weather Conditions" existed in the area. In addition, MRW considered other available information such as historic land-use, regional geology and soil types, or previous hydrologic determinations near the site. All hydrologic determinations were made by a Qualified Hydrologic Professional (1105-TN11).

5 RESULTS

5.1 Aquatic Features

According to local weather data, Climatological Data for the McMinnville, Tennessee (Station ID: McMinnville (USC00405882 (GHCN));

• No precipitation had fallen within 48 hours of the assessment and a total of 5.90 inches of precipitation had fallen seven days prior to the assessment completed on May 13, 2024.

Following the review of the weather data, MRW determined that the weather conditions based on the Hydrologic Determination Guidance were "average" (Table 2).

		Long-	term rainfall re	cords						
	Month	Standard Deviation	Minus One Standard Deviation	Normal (Mean inches)	Plus One Standard Deviation	Actual Rainfall	Condition	Condition value	Month weight value	Product of previous two columns
lst prior Month	April	2.07	2.26	4.33	6.4	5.03	Average	2	X 3	6
2nd prior Month	March	2.70	2.85	5.55	8.25	7.12	Average	2	X 2	4
3rd prior Month	February	1.89	2.82	4.71	6.60	4.59	Average	2	X 1	2
									Sum =	12

Table 2. "Normal Weather Conditions" Chart

Based on the on-site review, <u>one wetland area</u> was identified within the Subject Property (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Hydrologic features identified within the Subject Property.

5.1.1 Wetlands

Table 3 depicts the acreage, HGM classification, and Cowardin classification of the wetland areas identified within the Subject Property. Sample locations, wetland delineation forms, and pictures are included in Appendix A.

ID	Acreage	Cowardin Classification	HGM Classification	Latitude	Longitude
WET-A	0.52	PEM1A/C	Slope/Depression	35.801555	-85.793848

Table 3. Characteristics of Identified Wetlands.

Wetland-A

Wetland-A ("WET-A") is a palustrine emergent wetland. WET-A starts at a spring and spring box that appears to have been used as a water source in the past. In addition, a small pond has been excavated in the wetland area in the past. Hydrology indicators included saturated soils, surface water, and oxidized rhizospheres on living roots. Hydrophytic vegetation dominated the wetland area and included species such as; soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*), spotted Joe pye weed (*Eutrochium maculatum*), woolgrass (*Scirpus cyperinus*), broad-leaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*), duckweed (*Lemna* sp.) and green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*). Hydric soils are present and meet the requirements of the F3 Indicator – Depleted Matrix.

5.1.2 Deepwater Aquatic Habitat

No deepwater aquatic habitat was identified within the Subject Property.

5.1.3 Streams, WWC, and Upland Drainage Features

No streams, WWCs, and/or upland drainage features were identified within the Subject Property.

6 CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Aquatic Features

MRW identified one wetland area within the Subject Property. The wetland area identified within the Subject Property totaled approximately 0.52 acres.

Prior to any construction, MRW strongly recommends consultation with appropriate regulatory personnel before any alteration to these aquatic features is undertaken. This includes but is not limited to the placement of fill material into these features or the alteration of hydrology across the site.

7 LITERATURE CITED

- Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, and L. T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. FWS/OBS-79/31. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington, DC.
- Godfrey, R. K., and J. W. Wooten. 1979. Aquatic and wetland plants of southeastern United States, monocotyledons. University of Georgia Press. Athens, GA.
- Lichvar, R. W., D. L. Banks, W. N. Kirchner, and N. C. Melvin. 2016. The National Wetland Plant List: 2016 wetland ratings.
- Radford, A. E., H. E. Ahles, and C. R. Bell. 1968. Manual of the vascular flora of the Carolinas. The University of North Carolina Press. Chapel Hill, NC.
- Smith, R. D., A. Ammann, C. Bartoldus, and M. M. Brinson. 1995. An approach for assessing wetland functions using hydrogeomorphic classification, reference wetlands, and functional indices. Technical Report WRP-DE-9. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, MS.
- Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation. 2020. *Guidance for Making Hydrologic Determinations*. Version 1.5.
- Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation. Tennessee Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service. 2010. *Field Indicators* of *Hydric Soils in the United States*. Version 7.0. L. M. Vasilas, G. W. Hurt, and C. V. Noble (eds.). USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.
- U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region Version 2.0, ed. J. F. Berkowitz, J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-12-9. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

APPENDIX A

Additional Information



Aerial photo of the Subject Property depicting the location of each aquatic feature.



Picture 1. Photograph of WET-A



Picture 2. Photograph of WET-A



Picture 3. Photograph of UPL-A

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Three Rivers Home	e Builders		City/County: War	rren	Sa	mpling Date: May	/ 17, 2024
Applicant/Owner: James Dickso	on			State:	TN	Sampling Point:	WET - A
Investigator(s): Ken Morgan an	d Matt Granstaff		Section, Township	o, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Slope	Loc	cal relief (concave, co	onvex. none):	concave	Slope ((%): 2
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LF	R	Lat: 35.801454	L	ong: -85.79	3493	Datum: NA	D83
Soil Man Unit Name: Christian	Dickson Huntington I	indside Waynesboro			NWI Classi	fication: PSS/PEM	
Are climatic / hydrologic condition	s on the site typical for f	his time of year?	Ves X	No	(If no ext	plain in Remarks)	-
Are Vegetation Soil	or Hydrology	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Norma	1 Circumstance	s" present? Ves	X No
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology		natic?	(If needed e	explain any answ	vers in Remarks)	
SUMMARY OF EINDINCS	, or Hydrology	induitaily provide	int logations the	nacota imm	antont footung		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	- Attach site map sho	owing sampling po	oint locations, tra	nsects, impo	ortant leature	s, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X	No	Is the Sampled Ar	·ea			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X	No	within a Wetland	? Yes	X No		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No					
Remarks:							
HYDROLOGY							
Wetland Hydrology Indicator	s:			-	Secondary India	cators (minimum of ty	wo required):
Primary Indicators (minimum of	f one is required: check a	ll that apply):		-	Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)	
X Surface Water (A1)		_ True Aquatic Plan	tts (B14)	-	Sparsely V	egetated Concave Su	ırface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)		_ Hydrogen Sulfide	Odor (C1)	-	Drainage I	Patterns (B10)	
\underline{X} Saturation (A3)	<u>_X</u>	_ Oxidized Rhizosp	heres on Living Root	ts (C3)	Moss Trin	n Lines (B16)	
— Water Marks (B1)		Presence of Reduc	ced Iron (C4)	-	Dry-Seaso	on Water Table (C2)	
Drift Deposits (B3)		_ Recent Iron Reduc	ction in Tilled Soils (<u>(C6)</u> _	Crayfish E	Surrows (C8)	
Algal mat or Crust (B4)		_ Thin Muck Surfac	e(C/)	-	Saturation	Stragged Plants (D1)	agery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	mial Imagazar (D7)	_ Other (Explain in	Kemarks)	-	Stunted of	via Position (D2)	
<u>A</u> Inundation Visible on Aer	Tal Imagery (B7)			-	Geomorph	quitard (D3)	
X Aquetic Fauna (B13)	')			-	Shanow A	aquitard (D3)	
<u>Aquatic Faulia (B15)</u>				-	FAC-Neut	ral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:				-			
Surface Water Present?	Yes X No	Depth (Inches)· 1-2				
Water Table Present?	Ves X No	Depth (Inches	$\frac{12}{3-4}$				
Saturation Present?	Ves X No	Depth (Inches	$\frac{-5}{-7}$	Watland I	wduology Duog	ant? Vag X	No
(includes capillary fringe)		Deptil (menes		wettand H	lyurology rres		
Describe Recorded Data (stream	n gauge, aerial photos, pr	evious inspections),	if available:				
Remarks: Surface water denth	is for areas outside the ex	very sted pond Pond	is 3.4 feet deep				
Kemarks. Surface water depth	is for areas outside the ex	cavated polid. Folid	is 5-4 leet deep.				
1							

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
ee stratum (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4
				Total Number of Dominant
				Species Across All Strata:4 (B)
				Percent of Dominant Species
				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(C)
50% of total cover:	20% 0	= Total Cov f total cover:	er	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
nling Stratum (Plot Size: 30 meters)	20700	r totar cover.		OBL Species 55 X 1 = 55
				FACW Species 45 $X 2 = 90$
				FAC Species 70 $X 3 = 210$
				FACU Species X 4 =
				UPL species X5 =
				Column Totals: <u>170</u> (A) <u>355</u> (H
				2.0
		= Total Cov	er	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.0
50% of total cover:	20% o	f total cover:		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
(Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u>)	10			- Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Acer rubrum	40	Yes	FAC	X - Dominance Test is > 50%
Liquidambar styraciflua	20	Yes	FAC	\overline{X} - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{11}$
				- Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting
				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
				- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
50% of total cover: 30	<u> </u>	= Total Cov f total cover:	rer 12	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
lerb Stratum (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u>)				Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:
Toxicodendron radicans	10	No	FAC	Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines
Juncus effusus	35	Yes	FACW	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in.
Carex lurida	30	Yes	OBL	(7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).
Eutrochium maculatum	10	No	FACW	Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
Scirpus cyperinus	15	No	OBL	than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
Scirpus atrovirens	10	No	OBL	
				Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including
				herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
)	110			herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3
)55	110	= Total Cov	er 22	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
	<u>110</u> 20% or	= Total Cov f total cover:	er22	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
50% of total cover: <u>55</u> 50% of total cover: <u>55</u> <u>500dy Vine Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u> Rubus sp	- <u>110</u> 20% or)	= Total Cov f total cover:	er	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
50% of total cover:55 <u>oody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u> Rubus sp.	110 20% or) 15	= Total Cov f total cover: <u>No</u>	er 22 UNK	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
50% of total cover:55 <u>500dy Vine Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u> Rubus sp.		= Total Cov f total cover: <u>No</u>	er 22 UNK	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
 50% of total cover:55 <u>Voody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u> Rubus sp.	110 20% or) 15	 = Total Cov f total cover: 	er 22 UNK	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
 	20% or) 15	Total Cov f total cover: No	er 22 UNK	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height. Hydrophytic
 	<u> 110 </u>	Total Cov f total cover: <u>No</u> 	er 22 	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height. Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height. Hydrophytic Vegetation

Profile Descript	ion: (Describe to the	depth neede	d to document the ind	licator or co	onfirm the a	bsence of ind	licators.)		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)		<u>Type¹</u>	Loc ²	Texture	R	emarks
0-6	10YR5/1	80	5Y6/8	20	С	М			
6-9	10YR5/2	95	5Y6/8	5	С	М			
+9	10YR5/1	100							
	-								
¹ Type: C=Cond	centration, D=Depletion	n, RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, MS=maske	d Sand Grai	ns.	Location ²	: PL=Pore Lini	ng, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Ind	licators:						Indicators fo	r Problematic	Hydric Soils : ³
Histosol	(A1)	_	_ Dark Surface (S7)				2	cm Muck (Al	0) (MLRA 147)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Below S	urface (S8)	(MLRA 147	, 148)	(Coast Prairie R	edox (A16)
Black Hi	stic (A3)	—	_ Thin Dark Surface	(S9) (MLR	A 147, 148)		T	(NILKA 147	, 140)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Mat	trix (F2)			ł	(MLRA 136	147)
Statified	Layers (A5)		Lepleted Matrix (F	(3)					
2 cm Mu	ICK (AIU) (LRR N)		_ Redox Dark Surfac	e (F6)				ery Shallow L	Dark Surface (1F12)
Depleted	below Dark Surface (A	AII)	_ Depieted Dark Sur	(E^{2})			(Juner (Explain	in Remarks)
Thick Da	ucky Mineral (S1) (I I	PR N —	Redox Depressions	$(\mathbf{F}0)$	I DD N				
MLRA 1	147, 148)		MLRA 136	(112)	LKK N,				
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)	_	Umbric Surface (F	13) (MLRA	136, 122)		³ Indicat	ors of hydroph	vtic vegetation and
Sandy Re	edox (S5)	_	Piedmont Floodpla	in Soils (F1	9) (MLRA 1	48)	wetland	d hydrology m	ist be present,
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Materia	ıl (F21) (MI	RA 127, 14	7)	unless	disturbed or pr	oblematic.
Restrictive Lav	er (if observed):								
Туре:	· · ·								
Depth (inches	s):				H	ydric Soils Pr	resent? Yes	X	_ No

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Three Rivers Home Builders City/County: Warren Sampling Date: May 17, 2024
Applicant/Owner: James Dickson State: TN Sampling Point: UPL - A
Investigator(s): Ken Morgan and Matt Granstaff Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 4
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR Lat: 35.801454 Long: -85.793656 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Christian, Dickson, Huntington, Lindside, Waynesboro NWI Classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X
Is the Sampled Area Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X within a Wetland? Yes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
Remarks:
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required): Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required: check all that apply): Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Drift Deposits (B3) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Algal mat or Crust (B4) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5) Other (Explain in Remarks) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Aquatic Fauna (B13) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (Inches):
Water Table Present? Ves No X Depth (Inches);
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (Inches): (includes cardillary frince) Yes No X
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge aerial photos, previous inspections) if available:
Deserve Recorded Data (orientin guage, actual protos, previous inspections), il avanaote:
Remarks:

/EGETATION (Five Strata) - Use scientific nam	les of plants.	Sampling Point: UPL - A
	Absolute Dominant Indicat	Dominance Test worksheet:
ree Stratum (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u>)	% Cover Species? Status	Number of Dominant Species
·		
		- Total Number of Dominant
		Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
		Percent of Dominant Species
·		$- \qquad \text{That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:} \qquad - 0 \qquad (C)$
·		
	= Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet:
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
apling Stratum (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u>)		OBL Species X 1 =
		FACW Species X 2 =
		FAC Species X 3 =
		$= \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \\ FACU Species \\ \hline \\ 85 \\ \hline \\ X4 \\ \hline \\ 340 \\ \hline \\ 340 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$
		$_$ UPL species $_$ X5 = $_$
		Column Totals: (A) 340 (B)
	= Total Cover	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.0$
50% of total cover	20% of total cover	Hydronhytic Vegetation Indicators:
hrub Stratum (Plot Size: 30 meters)	2070 01 total covel	
<u>mae statum</u> (1100 Size. <u>50 meters</u>)		- Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
·		 Dominance Test is > 50%
		- Prevalence Index is $< 3.0^{1}$
B		- Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting
k.		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3		Duch lomatic Hydron by the Vecatorian (Tymlain)
۰ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		
0		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
	= Total Cover	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	
Ierb Stratum (Plot Size: 30 meters)		Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:
Schedonorus arundinaceus	<u>85</u> YesFACU	Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines
2		approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in
3		(7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).
··		Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
ŀ		approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
		— than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
7		Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
		approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
		- Herb All herbaceous (non woody) plants including
·		herbaceous vines, regardless of size and woody
U		- plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3
	= Total Cover	ft (1 m) in height.
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	-
Voody Vine Stratum (Plot Size: <u>30 meters</u>)	Woody vine - All woody vines, regardless of height.
		-
·		-
·		- Hydronhytic
		- Vegetation
	= Total Cover	Present? Ves No X
500% of total action	20% of total cover	

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth Depth Matrix	eeded to document the indicator or confirm Redox Features	n the absence of indi	cators.)	
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist)%T	ype ¹ Loc ²	Texture Remarks	
0-6 10YR5/3 10)			
+7 10YR5/4 10)			
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=	teduced Matrix, MS=masked Sand Grains.	Location:	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Historol (A1)	Dark Surface (S7)		2 om Muck (A10) (MI DA 147)	
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Data Surface (S?)	DA 147 149)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)	
Black Histic (A3)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147	(A 147, 148)	(MLRA 147, 148)	
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	, 1.0)	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)	
Statified Layers (A5)	Depleted Matrix (F3)		(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Depressions (F8)			
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N,	IronManganese Masses (F12) (LRR	Ν,		
MLRA 147, 148)	MLRA 136			
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136,	122)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
Sandy Redox (S5)	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)		wetland hydrology must be present,	
Stripped Matrix (S6)	Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1	Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)		
Restrictive Layer (if observed):				
Туре:				
Depth (inches):		Hydric Soils Pres	sent? Yes NoX	

Remarks:

APPENDIX C Project Plan





