

July 18, 2023

Ms. Christie Renfro
TDEC-Division of Water Resources
Knoxville Environmental Field Office
3711 Middlebrook Pike
Knoxville, Tennessee 37921
Christie. Renfro@tn.gov

**Subject: SR Maryville Blount (HD #31317)
Revised Hydrologic Determination Request
Blount County, Tennessee**

Ms. Renfro

A subsidiary of Silicon Ranch Corporation (SRC), SR Maryville Blount, LLC intends to develop a site within the city limits of Maryville, TN as a photovoltaic (PV) solar power generating facility. The SR Maryville Blount Site (Project Site) includes approximately 24 acres and is located 0.5 miles south of the intersection of Middlesettlements Rd. and Roberts C. Jackson Dr. The Project Site is within the southeastern limits of the Tennessee Denso Manufacturing Site at 1720 Robert C Jackson Dr., Maryville, TN 37801 and borders a substation within that property (Appendix A, Figures 1 and 2). On behalf of its subsidiary SR Maryville Blount, LLC, SRC has authorized HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR) as its agent to submit the enclosed Hydrologic Determination (HD) request for written approval from the Tennessee Department of Environmental and Conservation (TDEC) regarding the extent of streams, wetlands, and wet weather conveyances (WWCs) within the Project Site.

	Requestor/Applicant	Consultant/Requestor	Current Property Owners
Name	Luke Wikinson	Jennifer Banaszak	Denso Manufacturing
Affiliation	SR Maryville Blount	HDR	n/a
Mailing Address	222 2 nd Avenue South Suite 1900 Nashville, TN 37201	120 Brentwood Commons Way Suite 525 Brentwood, TN 37027-2029	1720 Robert C Jackson Dr ATTN Accounting Dept Maryville, TN 37801
Phone Number	615-577-4611	629-228-7595	865-982-7000
Parcel ID:	n/a	n/a	Map: 046 Parcel: 056.00

Project Location: 1720 Robert C Jackson Dr., Maryville, TN 37801

Basin: Pistol Creek Watershed (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] 060102010108)

Nearest City: Maryville, TN

County: Blount County

Center Decimal Degree Coordinates of Project Area: 35.760130°, -84.002582°

USGS Quadrangle Name: Louisville, TN (1968)

Project Site Description

Prior to undertaking fieldwork, HDR scientists conducted a desktop review of the Project Site utilizing a number of resources. The assessed data are presented on several figures in Appendix A, as follows:

- **Figure 1**, Project Vicinity Map
- **Figure 2**, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographic map;
- **Figure 3**, Aerial imagery;
- **Figure 4**, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soils map (including depth to confining layer and depth to water table);
- **Figure 5**, on-site streams, wetlands, and floodplains as depicted in the USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (UFWWS) National Wetland Inventory (NWI), and the Federal Emergency Management ACT (FEMA) National Flood Hazard Layer Viewer;
- **Figure 6**, the 12-digit HUC watersheds as shown by the USGS NHD; and
- **Figure 7**, Delineated Features.

According to the USDA NRCS Soil Survey of Blount County, six different soil types were identified within the Project Site (Appendix A, Figure 4 and Table 1). Approximately 3.5% percent of the onsite soils are classified as prime farmland. Depth to the restrictive layer is between approximately 2 and greater than 6.6 feet, and depth to the water table is between 0.5 and greater than 6.6 feet. Approximately of 17% of the soils with the Project Site are classified as hydric according to the NRCS National Hydric Soils List for Blount County and occur within the northeastern portion of the Project Site (NRCS 2021).

Table 1. Summary of USDA NRCS Soils within the Site

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Farmland Classification	Hydric	Depth to Restrictive Layer (ft)	Depth to Water Table (ft)	Acres	Percent
Fb	Farragut silty clay loam, eroded gently sloping phase	All areas are prime farmland	No	2	>6.6	0.0	0.0%
Fc	Farragut silty clay loam, eroded sloping phase	Farmland of local importance	No	2	>6.6	0.9	3.5%
Lk	Litz silt loam, sloping phase	Not prime farmland	No	2	>6.6	5.1	20.8%
LI	Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase	Not prime farmland	No	2	>6.6	9.2	38.1%
Pc	Prader silt loam (melvin)	Not prime farmland	Yes	>6.6	0.5	4.1	16.9%
Sf	Sequoia silty clay loam, eroded gently sloping phase	Not prime farmland	No	3	>6.6	5.0	20.6%

A review of desktop NHD and NWI datasets and aerial photography indicate that Laurel Bank Branch, a perennial stream characterized as R2UB3H, runs through the northeastern section of the Site (Appendix A, Figure 5). The stream is bordered by a freshwater forested/shrub wetland identified as a PFCO1C (Appendix A, Figure 5). The stream and mapped wetland features appear to be hydrologically linked. The stream flows to the northwest where it has a hydrologic connection to Little River. Laurel Bank Branch has been assessed under Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Laurel Bank Branch is not 303(d) listed, but its condition is impaired for fish and aquatic life due to

sedimentation/siltation. Total Maximum Daily Loads for siltation have been set in the Pistol Creek Watershed (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] 060102010108) which the Site is located within. There are no National Wild and Scenic Rivers, Outstanding Natural Resource Waters, or Exceptional Tennessee Waters within the Site.

According to FEMA maps, approximately 2.6 acres of the Site are within the 100-year floodplain and approximately 2 acres of this floodplain identified as a floodway. The 100-year floodplain, or Zone AE, is defined as areas subject to inundation by the 1-percent-annual-chance flood event determined by detailed methods. These areas of flood hazard border Laurel Bank Branch in the northeastern section of the Site. The rest of the Site is not located within a floodplain (Appendix A, Figure 5).

The Project Site largely contains forested wetlands in the northeast section, mixed deciduous forest in the southern section, evergreen forest in the central-eastern section, and small areas of developed space in the western section.

Tree species in delineated wetlands include sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), American hornbeam (*Carpinus caroliniana*), and common hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis*). Sapling and shrub species in delineated wetlands include black willow (*Salix nigra*), sweetgum, and Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*). Herbaceous and vine species in delineated wetlands include soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), sedge (*Carex* sp.), an unknown grass species (*Poaceae* sp.), green bristlegrass (*Setaria viridis*), narrowleaf cattail (*Typha angustifolia*), broad leafed dock (*Rumex obtusifolius*), black raspberry (*Rubus aboriginum*), and poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*).

Tree species in delineated uplands include common hackberry, callery pear (*Pyrus calleryana*), and pignut hickory (*Carya glabra*). Sapling and shrub species in delineated uplands include Chinese privet, red maple, common hackberry, and black cherry (*Prunus serotina*). Herbaceous and vine species in delineated uplands include an unknown grass species, white clover (*Trifolium repens*), mock strawberry (*Duchesnea indica*), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), hairy bittercress (*Cardamine hirsuta*), smallspike false nettle (*Boehmeria cylindrica*), goldenrod (*Solidago* sp.), dog-fennel (*Eupatorium capillifolium*), common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), field garlic (*Allium oleraceum*), and a Geranium species.

Jurisdictional Delineation and Hydrological Determination

On March 7 and 8, 2022, HDR environmental scientists Lyrranda Thiem, Tennessee Qualified Hydrologic Professional in Training (TN-QHP-IT), and Caroline Ryciuk reviewed the Project Site for waters of the U.S. under Section 404 of the CWA. Jurisdictional waters of the U.S. were delineated according to the methodology and guidance described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual, USACE 2008 Rapanos Guidance, and the 2012 USACE Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Regional Supplement (Version 2.0). Streams were classified utilizing the methodology and guidance provided in Regulatory Guidance Letter (RGL) 05-05 and the TDEC Division of Water Pollution Control Guidance for Making Hydrologic Determinations (Version 1.5). Jurisdictional waters of the U.S., Tennessee State Waters, and WWCs were flagged in the field and mapped using a Trimble® GeoXT GPS unit capable of sub-meter accuracy. GPS points were post-processed utilizing Trimble® GPS Pathfinder Office software.

Results

The results of the on-site field investigation conducted by HDR indicate that, according to the RGL 05-05 and TDEC Water Pollution Control Guidance for Making Hydrologic Determinations, there are two (2) stream channels, six (6) wetlands, and five (5) WWCs located within the Project Site (Appendix A, Figure 7).

The on-site surface waters drain to the stream Laurel Bank Branch (Stream 2), a relatively permanent water (RPW) located in the eastern portion of the Project Site and are within Pistol Creek Watershed (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] 060102010108). Total Maximum Daily Loads for siltation have been set within this watershed. Laurel Bank Branch is not 303(d) listed, but its condition is considered to be impaired for fish and aquatic life due to sedimentation/siltation.

Wetland Waters

There are six wetlands located within the Project Site totaling 2.33 acres (Appendix A, Figure 7). A summary of on-site wetland waters is included in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of on-site wetland waters within the Project Site

Feature Name	Coordinates (decimal degrees)	Cowardin Classification ¹	Estimated Amount of Aquatic Resource in Review Area (acres)
Wetland Waters			
Wetland 1	35.761160, -84.000853	PFO	2.11
Wetland 2	35.761639, -84.002697	PEM/PFO	0.08
Wetland 2b	35.761708, -84.002663	PEM/PFO	0.02
Wetland 3	35.76039, -84.003023	PFO	0.06
Wetland 4	35.761486, -84.002031	PEM	0.04
Wetland 4b	35.76164, -84.002084	PEM	0.02
Total Wetland Waters:			Area: 2.33 ac.

¹PEM = Palustrine emergent

²PFO = Palustrine forested

Streams

There are two perennial streams located within the Project Site totaling approximately 581 linear feet (0.09 acre) (Appendix A, Figure 7). A summary of on-site non-wetland waters is included in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of on-site non-wetland waters in Project Site

Feature Name	Starting Coordinates (decimal degrees)	Ending Coordinates (decimal degrees)	Cowardin Classification ¹	Estimated Amount of Aquatic Resource in Review Area
Non-Wetland Waters				
Stream 1	35.760546, -84.001117	35.760875, -84.001061	R5UB2	Length: 141 ft Width: 2-5 ft Area: 0.01 ac.
Stream 2	35.760739, -84.000605	35.76167, -84.001131	R2UB2	Length: 440 ft Width: 6-10 ft Area: 0.08 ac.
Total Non-Wetland Waters:				Length: 581 ft. Area: 0.09 ac.

¹R2UB = Riverine, Lower Perennial, Unconsolidated Bottom Sand

²R5UB2= Sand, Unconsolidated Bottom, Unknown Perennial, Riverine

Wet Weather Conveyances

There are five WWCs located within the Project Site totaling approximately 1,136 linear feet (0.06 acre) (Appendix A, Figure 7). A summary of on-site WWCs is included in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of wet weather conveyances within the Project Site

Feature Name	Starting Coordinates (decimal degrees)	Ending Coordinates (decimal degrees)	Estimated Amount of WWC in Review Area
Wet Weather Conveyances			
WWC 1	35.761395, -84.002439	35.760594, -84.001028	Length: 553 ft Width: 1-6 ft Area: 0.04 ac.
WWC 2	35.761199, -84.002180	35.761163, -84.002204	Length: 15 ft Width: 1 ft Area: 0.0003 ac.
WWC 3	35.760758, -84.001901	35.760232, -84.002656	Length: 321 ft Width: 1 ft Area: 0.01 ac.
WWC 4	35.760415, -84.002647	35.760254, -84.002851	Length: 84 ft Width: 1-2 ft Area: 0.003 ac.
WWC 5	35.760434, -88.003648	35.760364, -84.003113	Length: 163 ft Width: 1-3 ft Area: 0.01 ac.
Total WWCs:			Length: 1,136 ft Area: 0.06 ac.

On behalf of SR Maryville Blount, HDR is hereby requesting HD verification for 2 streams, 6 wetlands, and 5 WWCs within the Project Site. Should you have any questions or require additional information following your review of the enclosed materials, please contact me at (615) 507- 9167 or lyranda-thiem@hdrinc.com or Jennifer Banaszak at (629) 228-7595 or Jennifer.Banaszak@hdrinc.com.

Sincerely,

Lyranda Thiem

Lyranda Thiem TN-QHPIT
Environmental Scientist

Jennifer M. Banaszak

Jennifer M. Banaszak
Environmental Planner II

Appendices:

Appendix A: Figures


- Figure 1. Project Location
- Figure 2. USGS Topographic Quadrangles
- Figure 3. Aerial Imagery
- Figure 4. NRCS Soils Survey of Blount County
- Figure 5. USGS National Hydrography Dataset, USFWS National Wetlands Inventory, and FEMA Floodplains
- Figure 6. HUC 12 Watershed
- Figure 7. Delineated Features

Appendix B: Data Forms and Normal Weather Conditions

- USACE Wetland Determination Data Forms (DP1-DP8)
- Hydrologic Determination Data Sheets
- Normal Weather Conditions

Appendix C: Site Photographs


cc: Luke Wilkinson, Silicon Ranch Corporation







Appendix A

Figures



LEGEND

 Project Site (24 acres)


 Additional Project Site (0.25 acre)


DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery





0 Feet 300


1 INCH = 300 FEET
TENNESSEE STATE PLANE (FEET)
NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983

















LEGEND

- | | |
|---|---|
| Project Site (24 acres) | Delineated Streams (HD #31317) |
| Additional Project Site (0.25 acre) | — Perennial Stream |
| Delineated Wetlands (HD #31317) | |
| Wetland 1 | — WWC |
| Wetland 2 | HDR Delineated Wetlands - Extended |
| Wetland 3 | Wetland 2b |
| Wetland 4 | Wetland 4b |

DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery









LEGEND

- | | |
|---|---|
| Project Site (24 acres) | Delineated Streams (HD #31317) |
| Additional Project Site (0.25 acre) | — Perennial Stream |
| Delineated Wetlands (HD #31317) | |
| Wetland 1 | — WWC |
| Wetland 2 | HDR Delineated Wetlands - Extended |
| Wetland 3 | Wetland 2b |
| Wetland 4 | Wetland 4b |

DATA SOURCE: Bing Hybrid Aerial Imagery







Appendix B

Data Forms and Normal Weather Conditions

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/7/2022
 Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP1-W1
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.76116 Long: -84.000853 Datum: NAD86
 Soil Map Unit Name: Prader silt loam NWI classification: PFO
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Floodplain wetland surrounding Laurel Bank Branch	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland hydrology present.	

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP1-W1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>8</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>9</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>88.9%</u> (A/B)																
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3. <u>Quercus rubra</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>60</u> =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>75</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>225</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>80</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)</td> <td><u>360</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.88</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>	FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>	FAC species <u>75</u>	x 3 = <u>225</u>	FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)	<u>360</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.88</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>																			
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>																			
FAC species <u>75</u>	x 3 = <u>225</u>																			
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)	<u>360</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.88</u>																				
<u>50</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>30</u> 20% of total cover: <u>12</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Salix nigra</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>10</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)																				
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. <u>Carex sp.*</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
3. <u>Poaceae sp.*</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>25</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>13</u> 20% of total cover: <u>5</u>																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>30</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>15</u> 20% of total cover: <u>6</u>																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

*Wetland indicator status ranges from OBL-UPL. FAWC status assigned for this survey.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP1-W1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-10	10YR 4/2	90	7.5YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
10-20	10YR 4/2	80	7.5YR 5/8	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> MLRA 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> X </u> No _____
---	---

Remarks:
 Hydric soils present.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/7/2022
 Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP2-W3
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.76039 Long: -84.003023 Datum: NAD86
 Soil Map Unit Name: Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase NWI classification: PFO
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Depression wetland located off of trail.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland hydrology present.	

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP2-W3

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Liquidambar styraciflua</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>
3. <u>Carpinus caroliniana</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
4. <u>Celtis occidentalis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>55</u> =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>28</u>		20% of total cover: <u>11</u>	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Ligustrum sinense</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>15</u> =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	<u>FACW</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	<u>FACW</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____		20% of total cover: _____	

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>10</u> =Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>5</u>		20% of total cover: <u>2</u>	

Dominance Test worksheet:

 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>55</u>	x 3 = <u>165</u>
FACU species <u>25</u>	x 4 = <u>100</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A)	<u>265</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.31</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:
Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation

 Present? Yes X No _____

 Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Wetland Vegetation is present

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP2-W3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-10	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
10-20	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 5/6	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> MLRA 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____
---	--

Remarks:
 Hydric soils present.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/8/2022
 Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP3-UP1
 Investigator(s): L. Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.760520 Long: -84.002915 Datum: NAD86
 Soil Map Unit Name: Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase NWI classification: NAD86
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: Upland point located uphill from Wetland 3 (PFO)			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Wetland Hydrology Present? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Wetland Hydrology is not present.			

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP3-UP1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Celtis occidentalis</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. <u>Pyrus calleryana</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>50</u> =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>115</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>460</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>135</u> (A)</td> <td><u>560</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.15</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>115</u>	x 4 = <u>460</u>	UPL species <u>20</u>	x 5 = <u>100</u>	Column Totals: <u>135</u> (A)	<u>560</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.15</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>115</u>	x 4 = <u>460</u>																			
UPL species <u>20</u>	x 5 = <u>100</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>135</u> (A)	<u>560</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.15</u>																				
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Ligustrum sinense</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>15</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>8</u> 20% of total cover: <u>3</u>																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)																				
1. <u>Poaceae sp. *</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3. <u>Duchesnea indica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4. <u>Allium allegheniense</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>50</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>25</u> 20% of total cover: <u>10</u>																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> X </u>																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>20</u> =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

* Wetland status ranges from OBL-UPL. Wetland status given FACU for this survey.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP3-UP1

[illegible]

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/8/2022
 Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP4-UP2
 Investigator(s): L. Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.760333 Long: -84.002647 Datum: NAD86
 Soil Map Unit Name: Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase NWI classification: NAD86
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: Upland point located within a low lying area. Three ephemerals pass through this area.			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Wetland Hydrology Present? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Wetland Hydrology is not present.			

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP4-UP2

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Celtis occidentalis</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>7</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>42.9%</u> (A/B)																
2. <u>Pyrus calleryana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3. <u>Carya glabra</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
60 = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>35</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>105</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>280</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)</td> <td><u>455</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.64</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>	FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>	FACU species <u>70</u>	x 4 = <u>280</u>	UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>	Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)	<u>455</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.64</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>																			
FAC species <u>35</u>	x 3 = <u>105</u>																			
FACU species <u>70</u>	x 4 = <u>280</u>																			
UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>125</u> (A)	<u>455</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.64</u>																				
50% of total cover: <u>30</u> 20% of total cover: <u>12</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2. <u>Celtis occidentalis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10 = Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)																				
1. <u>Cardamine hirsuta</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Boehmeria cylindrica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
3. <u>Allium allegheniense</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
35 = Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>18</u> 20% of total cover: <u>7</u>																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Lonicera japonica</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
20 = Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>10</u> 20% of total cover: <u>4</u>																				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																				

 Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Wetland vegetation is not present.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP4-UP2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 4/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	
2-20	7.5YR 4/4	70	2.5Y 5/4	30	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> MLRA 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
 Wetland Soils were not present.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/7/2022
 Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP5-W2
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.761721 Long: -84.002746 Datum: NAD86
 Soil Map Unit Name: Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase NWI classification: PEM
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Remarks: Depression wetland located at the edge of the project boundary
---	--

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland hydrology present.	

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP5-W2

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>5</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>60</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>90</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)</td> <td><u>200</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.67</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>	FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>	FAC species <u>30</u>	x 3 = <u>90</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>	Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.67</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>5</u>	x 1 = <u>5</u>																			
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>																			
FAC species <u>30</u>	x 3 = <u>90</u>																			
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																			
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.67</u>																				
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)																				
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Setaria viridis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3. <u>Carex sp.*</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
4. <u>Typha angustifolia</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>																	
5. <u>Rumex obtusifolius</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
6. <u>Rubus aboriginum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
65 =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>33</u> 20% of total cover: <u>13</u>																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10 =Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

*Wetland status ranges from OBL-UPL. Species given FACW for this survey

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP5-W2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-10	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
10-20	2.5Y 5/2	80	10YR 5/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) <input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) <input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136) <input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136) <input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) <input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
--	--	--

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Remarks:
 Hydric soils present.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending</i> <i>Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT:</i> <i>(Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/8/2022

Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP6-UP3

Investigator(s): L. Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____

Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Flat Local relief (concave, convex, none): Flat Slope (%): 0-2

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.761509 Long: -84.002647 Datum: NAD86

Soil Map Unit Name: Farragut silty clay loam, eroded sloping phase NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: Upslope of Wetland 2			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Wetland Hydrology Present? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Wetland hydrology is not present.			

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP6-UP3

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
		<u> </u> = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u> </u>		20% of total cover: <u> </u>		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	10	Yes	FACU
2.	<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>	5	Yes	FACU
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
		<u>15</u> = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>8</u>		20% of total cover: <u>3</u>		

Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	<i>Solidago sp.*</i>	5	No	FACU
2.	<i>Grass sp.*</i>	30	Yes	FACU
3.	<i>Eupatorium capillifolium</i>	30	Yes	FACU
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
		<u>65</u> = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>33</u>		20% of total cover: <u>13</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	<i>Lonicera japonica</i>	5	Yes	FACU
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
		<u>5</u> = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>3</u>		20% of total cover: <u>1</u>		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>85</u>	x 4 = <u>340</u>
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>
Column Totals: <u>85</u> (A)	<u>340</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
- 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation

Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

*Wetland status ranges from OBL-UPL. Wetland status assigned FACU for this survey.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP6-UP3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 4/3	60	10YR 4/2	40			loamy/clayey	clay loam
12-20	10YR 4/4	60	10YR 5/2	30			loamy/clayey	clay loam dual matrix
			10YR 5/8	10	C	M		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) <input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) <input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136) <input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136) <input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) <input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
--	--	--

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
 Wetland soils are not present.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	<i>OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)</i>
---	---

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/8/2022
 Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP7-W4
 Investigator(s): L.Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.761528 Long: -84.002017 Datum: NAD86
 Soil Map Unit Name: Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase NWI classification: PEM
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Remarks: Depression wetland located at the edge of the project boundary	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Wetland hydrology present.	

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP7-W4

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>50</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>150</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)</td> <td><u>190</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.92</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>	FAC species <u>50</u>	x 3 = <u>150</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>190</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.92</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>																			
FAC species <u>50</u>	x 3 = <u>150</u>																			
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A)	<u>190</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.92</u>																				
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: _____ 20% of total cover: _____																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)																				
1. <u>Rumex obtusifolius</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Setaria viridis</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3. <u>Carex sp.*</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>28</u> 20% of total cover: <u>11</u>																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)																				
1. <u>Toxicodendron radicans</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
50% of total cover: <u>5</u> 20% of total cover: <u>2</u>																				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>																				

 Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
 Wetland vegetation is present.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP7-W4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-10	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
10-20	2.5Y 5/2	80	10YR 5/6	20	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> MLRA 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>
---	---

Remarks:
 Hydric soils present.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region See ERDC/EL TR-07-24; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-xxxx, Exp: Pending Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	--

Project/Site: SR Maryville Blount City/County: Blount County Sampling Date: 3/8/2022

Applicant/Owner: SRC State: TN Sampling Point: DP8-UP4

Investigator(s): L. Thiem and C. Rycuik Section, Township, Range: _____

Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope (%): 2-5

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 35.761524 Long: -84.001794 Datum: NAD86

Soil Map Unit Name: Prader silt loam (melvin) NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Remarks: Upland point located upslope of Wetland 1 and Wetland 4			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ True Aquatic Plants (B14) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;"> Wetland Hydrology Present? </td> <td style="width: 40%; padding: 5px;"> Yes _____ No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: Wetland Hydrology is not present.			

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: DP8-UP4

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. <u>Eupatorium capillifolium</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>
2. <u>Taraxacum laevigatum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
3. <u>Grass sp.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	
4. <u>Allium oleraceum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>
5. <u>Geranium sp.</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
	<u>60</u> = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: <u>30</u>	20% of total cover: <u>12</u>		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
	_____ = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: _____	20% of total cover: _____		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>20</u>	x 4 = <u>80</u>
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>
Column Totals: <u>35</u> (A)	<u>155</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.43</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
- 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody Vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?

Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
Wetland vegetation is not present.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP8-UP4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	
6-20	10YR 4/3	80	10YR 5/8	20			Loamy/Clayey	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators:				Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:				
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)			<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)			<input type="checkbox"/> Coast Prairie Redox (A16)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)			<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (MLRA 136)			<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 147, 148)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Stratified Layers (A5)			<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)			<input type="checkbox"/> (MLRA 136, 147)		
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)			<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			<input type="checkbox"/> (outside MLRA 127, 147, 148)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)			<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,			<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			<input type="checkbox"/> MLRA 136)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)			<input type="checkbox"/> Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 122, 136)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and		
<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)			<input type="checkbox"/> Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)			wetland hydrology must be present,		
<input type="checkbox"/> Dark Surface (S7)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147, 148)			unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive Layer (if observed):								
Type: _____								
Depth (inches): _____						Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> _____		
Remarks: Wetland Soils were not present.								

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: UNT to Laurel Bank Branch		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: S1		
Site Location: Located in the northeastern border and runs outside of rock outcropping into Laurel Bank Branch		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.761312/-84.001084
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Montgomery	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Prader silt loam (melvin)		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : Severe Moderate Slight Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	✓	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	✓	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	✓	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection		Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed		Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = Stream

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 23

Justification / Notes :

This is a small perennial stream that flows out of a large rock outcropping, passes under a wooden bridge and then flows into Laurel Bank Branch. Bank width ranged from 2-5 feet, bank height ranged from 6 inches to a foot, and water depth in the channel ranged from 6 inches to 2 feet.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 10)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 6)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel		0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)		1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris		0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)		0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel		No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ₁	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ₁	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ₂	0	0.5	1	1.5

1 Focus is on the presence of **terrestrial** plants.

2 Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 23

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes : Sorting of gravel from sandy substrates occurred throughout the stream. Another WWC flows down into this stream.

[illegible]

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: Laurel Bank Branch		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: S2		
Site Location: Located in the northeastern border of the subject property flows through a wetland and floodplain		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.761312/-84.001084
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Montgomery	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Prader silt loam (melvin)		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> Severe Moderate Slight Absent </div>		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge	✓	WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	✓	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions	✓	WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	✓	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed		Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = Stream

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 23

Justification / Notes :

Known as Laurel Bank Branch this stream has a bank width of 6 to 10 feet and a bank height of 6 inches to 2 feet. Water depth in the channel at the time if the survey ranged from 6 inches to 2 feet. Substrate within the channel consisted of mostly sand with some gravel and silt.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 19)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 5.5)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel	0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)	1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris	0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)	0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel	No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal = 7)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ¹	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ¹	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macroinvertebrates (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ²	0	0.5	1	1.5

¹ Focus is on the presence of terrestrial plants.

² Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 32.5

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes : 4). Some sorting of gravel from sandy substrate. 5). This stream flows within a wetland and a floodplain system.

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: UNT to Laurel Bank Branch		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: WWC1		
Site Location: Located in the northern portion of the subject property and flows down into a UNT to Laurel Bank Branch		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.761037 /-84.002111
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Blount	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : Severe Moderate Slight Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge		WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	✓	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions		WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	✓	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	✓	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WWC

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 12.5

Justification / Notes :

This WWC flows from a foot path and leads down into a rocky outcrop area and finally flowing into a UNT to Laurel Bank Branch. Bank width ranged from 1 to 6 feet and bank height ranged from 6 inches to 5 feet.

No water was flowing within the channel at the time of the survey.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 9)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.5)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel		0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)		1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris		0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)		0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel		No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal = 3)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ₁	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ₁	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ₂	0	0.5	1	1.5

1 Focus is on the presence of **terrestrial** plants.

2 Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 12.5

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes : 4) Very little gravel sorting from sand along the length of the stream.

[illegible]

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: WWC		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: WWC2		
Site Location: Located in the central portion of the subject property and flows down into WWC 2		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.760297/-84.002657
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Blount	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : Severe Moderate Slight Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge		WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species		WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions		WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	✓	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	✓	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WWC

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) =

Justification / Notes :

This WWC flows down into WWC 1 which leads down into a UNT to Laurel Bank Branch.

Laurel Bank Branch. Bank width was 1 foot and bank height

No water was flowing within the channel at the time of the survey.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal =)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal =)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel		0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)		1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris		0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)		0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel		No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal =)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ₁	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ₁	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ₂	0	0.5	1	1.5

1 Focus is on the presence of **terrestrial** plants.

2 Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points =

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

[illegible]

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: WWC		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: WWC3		
Site Location: Located in the central portion of the subject property and flows down into WWC 2		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.760297/-84.002657
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Blount	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : Severe Moderate Slight Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge		WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species		WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions		WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	✓	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	✓	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WWC

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) =

Justification / Notes :

This WWC flows down into WWC 2 which leads down into a UNT to Laurel Bank Branch.

Laurel Bank Branch. Bank width was 1 foot and bank height

No water was flowing within the channel at the time of the survey.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal =)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal =)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel		0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)		1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris		0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)		0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel		No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal =)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ₁	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ₁	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ₂	0	0.5	1	1.5

1 Focus is on the presence of **terrestrial** plants.

2 Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points =

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes :

[illegible]

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: WWC4		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: WWC4		
Site Location: Located in the western portion of the subject property and flows down into PFO wetland		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.760436/-84.003348
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Blount	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : Severe Moderate Slight Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge		WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	✓	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions		WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	✓	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	✓	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WWC

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 7

Justification / Notes :

This WWC flows from footpath down into a PFO wetland.

Bank width ranged from 1 to 2 feet and bank height ranged from 6 inches to 12 inches

No water was flowing within the channel at the time of the survey.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 5.5)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 0.5)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel		0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)		1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris		0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)		0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel		No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal = 1)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ₁	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ₁	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ₂	0	0.5	1	1.5

1 Focus is on the presence of **terrestrial** plants.

2 Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 7

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes : Substate within this channel is similar to the surrounding upland area.

[illegible]

Hydrologic Determination Field Data Sheet

Tennessee Division of Water Pollution Control, Version 1.5

Named Waterbody: UNT to Laurel Bank Branch		Date/Time: 3/7/2022
Assessors/Affiliation: HDR INC/ Lyranda Thiem and Caroline Rycuik		Project ID : SR Maryville Blount
Site Name/Description: WWC5		
Site Location: Located in the northern portion of the subject property and flows down into WWC1		
HUC (12 digit): Big Sandy River Headwaters (Hydrologic Unit Code [HUC] (060400050501)		Lat/Long:
Previous Rainfall (7-days) : In the previous 7 days it rained 0.25 inches		35.760415/-84.002620
Precipitation this Season vs. Normal : abnormally wet elevated average low abnormally dry unknown		
Source of recent & seasonal precip data : ESRL and AHPS		
Watershed Size : 44, 971 acres	County: Blount	
Soil Type(s) / Geology : Litz silt loam, moderately steep phase		USDA: Web Soil Survey Source:
Surrounding Land Use : Industrial (Denso Steel Manufacturing) and forested land		
Degree of historical alteration to natural channel morphology & hydrology (circle one & describe fully in Notes) : Severe Severe Moderate Slight Absent		

Primary Field Indicators Observed

Primary Indicators	NO	YES
1. Hydrologic feature exists solely due to a process discharge		WWC
2. Defined bed and bank absent, vegetation composed of upland and FACU species	✓	WWC
3. Watercourse dry anytime during February through April 15th, under normal precipitation / groundwater conditions		WWC
4. Daily flow and precipitation records showing feature only flows in direct response to rainfall	✓	WWC
5. Presence of multiple populations of obligate lotic organisms with ≥ 2 month aquatic phase	✓	Stream
6. Presence of fish (except <i>Gambusia</i>)	✓	Stream
7. Presence of naturally occurring ground water table connection	✓	Stream
8. Flowing water in channel and 7 days since last precip >0.1" in local watershed	✓	Stream
9. Evidence watercourse has been used as a supply of drinking water	✓	Stream

NOTE: If any Primary Indicators 1-9 = "Yes", then no further investigation is necessary. However, assessors may choose to score secondary indicators as supporting evidence.

In the absence of a primary indicator, or other definitive evidence, complete the secondary indicator table on page 2 of this sheet, and provide score below.

Guidance for the interpretation and scoring of both the primary & secondary indicators is provided in *TDEC-WPC Guidance For Making Hydrologic Determinations, Version 1.5*

Overall Hydrologic Determination = WWC

Secondary Indicator Score (if applicable) = 10.5

Justification / Notes :

This WWC flows from a foot path and leads down into a rocky outcrop area and finally flowing into a UNT to Laurel Bank Branch. Bank width ranged from 1 to 3 feet and bank height ranged from 6 inches to 12 inches
No water was flowing within the channel at the time of the survey.

Secondary Field Indicator Evaluation

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 7.5)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1. Continuous bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuous channel	0	1	2	3
3. In-channel structure: riffle-pool sequences	0	1	2	3
4. Sorting of soil textures or other substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relic floodplain	0	0.5	1	1.5
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	3
7. Braided channel	0	1	2	3
8. Recent alluvial deposits	0	0.5	1	1.5
9. Natural levees	0	1	2	3
10. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
11. Grade controls	0	0.5	1	1.5
12. Natural valley or drainageway	0	0.5	1	1.5
13. At least second order channel on existing USGS or NRCS map	No = 0		Yes = 3	

B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 1)		Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
14. Subsurface flow/discharge into channel		0	1	2	3
15. Water in channel and >48 hours since sig. rain	NA	0	1	2	3
16. Leaf litter in channel (January – September)		1.5	1	0.5	0
17. Sediment on plants or on debris		0	0.5	1	1.5
18. Organic debris lines or piles (wrack lines)		0	0.5	1	1.5
19. Hydric soils in channel bed or sides of channel		No = 0		Yes = 1.5	

C. Biology (Subtotal = 2)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
20. Fibrous roots in channel bed ₁	3	2	1	0
21. Rooted plants in the thalweg ₁	3	2	1	0
22. Crayfish in stream (exclude in floodplain)	0	1	2	3
23. Bivalves/mussels	0	1	2	3
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Macrobenthos (record type & abundance)	0	1	2	3
26. Filamentous algae; periphyton	0	1	2	3
27. Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5
28. Wetland plants in channel bed ₂	0	0.5	1	1.5

1 Focus is on the presence of **terrestrial** plants.

2 Focus is on the presence of aquatic or wetland plants.

Total Points = 10.5

Under Normal Conditions, Watercourse is a Wet Weather Conveyance if Secondary Indicator Score < 19 points

Notes : 4) Very little gravel sorting from sand along the length of the stream.

[illegible]

March 2022 Mobilization			
Criteria- values are in inches	<u>1st Month Prior</u>	<u>2nd Month prior</u>	<u>3rd Month Prior</u>
	February-22	January-21	December-21
Standard Deviation	1.91	2.00	2.25
Minus 1 Std. Deviation	1.84	2.34	2.15
Normal Precipitation	4.03	4.34	4.40
Plus 1 Std. Deviation	5.94	6.34	6.65
Actual Estimated Rainfall	15.0	10.0	5.0
Condition (elevated, low, average)	Elevated	Elevated	Average
Conditional Score	3	3	2
Weight	3	2	1
Product	9	6	2
		Sum=	17
Overall Wetness*			Elevated

A decorative graphic on the left side of the page consists of three stacked rectangles: a light blue rectangle at the top, a medium blue rectangle in the middle, and a dark blue rectangle at the bottom. To the right of these rectangles, there are two solid-colored rectangles: a dark gray one at the top and a black one at the bottom.

Appendix C

Site Photographs

North Elevation

☼ 186°S (T) ● 35.761671°N, 84.001149°W ±13ft ▲ 862ft



Photograph 1- Start of Stream 2, perennial, R2UB2, facing north and upstream.

South Elevation

☼ 3°N (T) ● 35.761676°N, 84.001155°W ±13ft ▲ 875ft



Photograph 2- Start of Stream 2, perennial, facing south and downstream.



Photograph 3- End of Stream 2, perennial, facing east and upstream.



Photograph 4- End of Stream 2, perennial, facing west and downstream.

South West Elevation

☉ 52°NE (T) ● 35.760559°N, 84.001146°W ±19ft ▲ 860ft



07 Mar 2022, 13:22:46

Photograph 5- Start of Stream 1, perennial, R5UB2 facing southwest and upstream.

North East Elevation

☉ 212°SW (T) ● 35.760535°N, 84.001139°W ±19ft ▲ 862ft



07 Mar 2022, 13:22:51

Photograph 6- Start of Stream 1, perennial, facing northeast and downstream.

North Elevation

☼ 202°S (T) ● 35.760752°N, 84.001097°W ±16ft ▲ 846ft



Photograph 7- End of Stream 1, perennial, facing north and upstream.

South East Elevation

☼ 297°NW (T) ● 35.761317°N, 84.002396°W ±13ft ▲ 905ft



Photograph 8- Start of WWC 1, facing southeast and downstream.

South East Elevation

☉ 297°NW (T) ● 35.760553°N, 84.001120°W ±9ft ▲ 876ft



Photograph 9- End of WWC 1, facing southeast and downstream.

North East Elevation

☉ 216°SW (T) ● 35.761212°N, 84.002179°W ±13ft ▲ 889ft



Photograph 10- Start of WWC 2, facing northeast and upstream.



Photograph 11- End of WWC 2, facing southwest and downstream



Photograph 12- Representative photo of WWC 3.



Photograph 13- End of WWC 5, facing east and downstream.



Photograph 14- Start of WWC 4, facing west and downstream.

West Elevation

☼ 91°E (T) ● 35.760441°N, 84.003355°W ±13ft ▲ 890ft



08 Mar 2022, 09:09:57

Photograph 15- Start of WWC 5, facing west and upstream.

South West Elevation

☼ 28°NE (T) ● 35.761564°N, 84.001036°W ±13ft ▲ 863ft



07 Mar 2022, 13:52:41

Photograph 16- Wetland 1, PFO, DP1-W1, facing southwest.

West Elevation

☉ 101°E (T) ● 35.761658°N, 84.001818°W ±9ft ▲ 896ft



Photograph 17- Upland 4, DP8-UP4, facing west.

North East Elevation

☉ 241°SW (T) ● 35.760444°N, 84.002930°W ±13ft ▲ 907ft



Photograph 18- Wetland 3, PFO, DP2-W3 facing northeast.

North Elevation

☼ 193°S (T) ● 35.760507°N, 84.002855°W ±9ft ▲ 886ft



Photograph 19- Upland 1, DP3-UP1, facing north.

West Elevation

☼ 93°E (T) ● 35.760393°N, 84.002711°W ±16ft ▲ 885ft



Photograph 20- Upland 2, DP4-UP2 facing west.

North Elevation

☼ 198°S (T) ● 35.761781°N, 84.002783°W ±9ft ▲ 896ft



Photograph 21- Wetland 2, PEM/PFO, DP5-W2, facing north.

South Elevation

☼ 346°N (T) ● 35.761504°N, 84.002152°W ±170ft ▲ 824ft



Photograph 22- Wetland 4, PEM, DP7-W4, facing south.



Photograph 23- Upland 3, DP6-UP3, facing east.



Photograph 24 – Representative photo of on-site uplands, pine forest, facing west



Photograph 25 – Representative photo of on-site uplands, open deciduous forest, facing north



Photograph 26 – Representative photo of on-site uplands, trailhead on southwestern border of the Site, facing northwest