

## Wade Murphy

---

**From:** Wade Murphy  
**Sent:** Monday, October 30, 2023 2:25 PM  
**To:** Gordon Holcomb  
**Subject:** RE: Centerville PTL

Gordon, I'm fine with the changes for the PTL file. I'm going to leave the permit as drafted though since the edits don't change the results of the reasonable potential calculations which are only more conservative without these edits. This way the draft permit shows, with the more conservative interpretation, that no WQ based limits are required in the permit, and the PTLs show that, with the more liberal interpretation, that PTLs are the best we can offer via our current procedures.



**Wade D. Murphy** | E.I.  
Division of Water Resources, Water-Based Systems Unit  
William R. Snodgrass TN Tower, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl  
312 Rosa L. Parks Ave 37243  
p. 615-532-0666  
[wade.murphy@tn.gov](mailto:wade.murphy@tn.gov)  
[tn.gov/environment](http://tn.gov/environment)

**Internal Customers:** We value your feedback! Please complete our [customer satisfaction survey](#).

**External Customers:** We value your feedback! Please complete our [customer satisfaction survey](#).

---

**From:** Gordon Holcomb <Gordon.Holcomb@tn.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 30, 2023 2:01 PM  
**To:** Wade Murphy <Wade.Murphy@tn.gov>  
**Subject:** Centerville PTL

Wade,  
I've reviewed the Centerville PTL. While none of the limits changed, I do have a couple of comments. Both have Orange Highlighter on the 1Q10 Tab in the attached spreadsheet.

1. Taking account of the relative drainage areas of the outfall and gauge increased the rounded 1Q10 value by 1 MGD
2. The background value for Lead was skewed upward quite a bit by 4 values in 2011 that had very high detection levels and also looked suspect because one of them had a result of 0.74 ug/l with a MDL of 32 ug/l. Taking those 4 points out reduced the average from 1.9 to 0.7 ug/l as the background average.

If these changes look good, then I'll get these to Adam so that they can get send out soon.  
Gordon



**Gordon Holcomb** | Pretreatment

Division of Water Resources

William R. Snodgrass Tennessee Tower, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor

312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue

Nashville, TN 37243

p. 615-339-9956

[Gordon.Holcomb@tn.gov](mailto:Gordon.Holcomb@tn.gov)

**WATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS FOR METALS AND OTHER TOXIC SUBSTANCES**  
**WATER QUALITY BASED EFFLUENT CALCULATIONS**  
**OUTFALL 001**

FACILITY: Centerville

PERMIT #: TN024937

DATE: 09/26/23

CALC BY: WDM

regulated stream worksheet (1Q10)

Stream (1Q10)	Stream (30Q5) Flow [MGD]	Waste Flow [MGD]	Ttl. Susp. Solids [mg/l]	Hardness (as CaCO3) [mg/l]	Margin of Safety [%]
155.00	224.00	0.75	20	165	50

PARAMETER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
	Stream	Fish/Aqua. Life (F & AL) WQC			F & AL- instream allowable		Calc. Effluent Concentration		Human Health Water Quality Criteria *							effluent
	Bckgrnd.	lab conditions	Fraction		ambient conditions (Tot)		based on F & AL		In-Stream Criteria			Calc. Effluent Concentration **			limited	
	Conc.	Chronic	Acute	Dissolved	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Organisms	Water/Organisms	DWS	Organisms	Water/Organisms	DWS	case	
	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[Fraction]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	[ug/l]	ug/l
Copper (a,b)	1.300	13.739	21.542	0.206	66.570	104.380	6777.82	10703.82				NA	NA	NA	80.0	Copper (a,b)
Chromium III	1.500	111.692	858.646	0.049	2295.318	17645.507	238175.51	1832036.79	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Report	Chromium III
Chromium VI	1.500	11.000	16.000	1.000	11.000	16.000	987.17	1506.33	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Report	Chromium VI
Chromium, Total	1.500	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0	NA	NA	14759.33	NA	Chromium, Total
Nickel (a,b)	3.600	79.442	715.247	0.180	442.145	3980.808	45537.37	412968.52	4600.0	610.0	100.0	688695.73	90860.73	14445.73	180.0	Nickel (a,b)
Cadmium (a,b)	0.200	1.046	2.877	0.185	5.663	15.570	567.32	1596.04	NA	NA	5.0	NA	NA	719.30	5.0	Cadmium (a,b)
Lead (a,b)	0.700	4.322	110.900	0.110	39.263	1007.568	4004.52	104546.76	NA	NA	5.0	NA	NA	644.63	45.0	Lead (a,b)
Mercury (T) (c)	0.050	0.770	1.400	1.000	0.770	1.400	74.79	140.20	0.051	0.05	2.0	0.17	0.02	292.20	0.4	Mercury (T) (c)
Silver (a,b,e)	3.806	NA	7.612	1.000	NA	7.612	NA	397.09	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5.0	Silver (a,b, e)
Zinc (a,b)	3.700	180.579	179.114	0.103	1759.298	1745.023	182291.39	180809.25	26000.0	7400.0	NA	3895114.13	1108214.13	NA	200.0	Zinc (a,b)
Cyanide (d)	0.020	5.200	22.000	1.000	5.200	22.000	537.87	2282.27	140.0	140.0	200.0	20973.68	20973.68	29963.68	230.0	Cyanide (d)
Toluene									15000.0	1300.0	1000.0	2247500.00	194783.33	149833.33	15.0	Toluene
Benzene									510.0	22.0	5.0	76415.00	3296.33	749.17	3.0	Benzene
1,1,1 Trichloroethane									NA	NA	200.0	NA	NA	29966.67	30.0	1,1,1 Trichloroethane
Ethylbenzene									2100.0	530.0	700.0	314650.00	79411.67	104883.33	4.0	Ethylbenzene
Carbon Tetrachloride									16.0	2.3	5.0	2397.33	344.62	749.17	15.0	Carbon Tetrachloride
Chloroform									4700.0	57.0	NA	704216.67	8540.50	NA	85.0	Chloroform
Tetrachloroethylene									33.0	6.9	5.0	4944.50	1033.85	749.17	25.0	Tetrachloroethylene
Trichloroethylene									300.0	25.0	5.0	44950.00	3745.83	749.17	10.0	Trichloroethylene
1,2 trans Dichloroethylene									10000.0	140.0	100.0	NA	20976.67	14983.33	1.5	1,2 trans Dichloroethylene
Methylene Chloride									5900.0	46.0	5.0	884016.67	6892.33	NA	50.0	Methylene Chloride
Total Phenols									860000.0	10000.0	NA	128856666.67	1498333.33	NA	50.0	Total Phenols
Naphthalene									NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.0	Naphthalene
Total Phthalates									NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	64.5	Total Phthalates
Chlorine (T. Res.)	0.000	11.000	19.000	1.000	11.000	19.000	2284.33	3945.67	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	n/a	Chlorine (T. Res.)

a Denotes metals for which Fish &amp; Aquatic Life Criteria are expressed as a function of total hardness.

b The criteria for this metal is in the dissolved form at lab conditions. The calculated effluent concentration is in the total recoverable form.

c The chronic criteria for mercury is not converted to dissolved, since it is based on fish tissue data rather than toxicity.

d The criteria for this parameter is in the total form.

e Silver limit is daily max if column 8 is most stringent.

f When columns 7 or 8 result in a negative number, use results from columns 5 or 6, respectively.

g When columns 12, 13 or 14 result in a negative number, use results from columns 9, 10 or 11, respectively, as applicable.

\* Domestic supply included in river use so pick from columns 7,8,12,13,14,15 or Domestic supply not included in river use so pick from columns 7, 8, 12 or 15.

\*\* Water Quality criteria for stream use classifications other than Fish &amp; Aquatic Life are based on the 30Q5 flow.

Note: A copy of this spreadsheet can be found on h:\mfs\pretreat\Pass-through Limits\Spreadsheets\name of Control Authority.xls